

Instructor: Timothy Menzies
 Course: CS 473 001
 Email: tjmenzies@mail.wvu.edu

WVU eSEI: SURVEY RESULTS ANALYSIS

CRN:86374
 Term:Fall 2010
 # of Responses:4

Course Is?	Class status?	Miss class?	Learn?	Grade?	Compared to other courses?
Liberal Studies Req 0	Freshman 0	3 or Less 4	Very Little 0	A 3	Much More 3
Major Requirement 1	Sophomore 0	4 to 6 0	Some 0	B 1	Somewhat More 1
Major Elective 3	Junior 0	More than 6 0	More than Average 2	C 0	About The Same 0
General Elective 0	Senior 4	Omit 0	Quite A Lot 2	D 0	Less Than 0
Omit 0	Graduate 0		Omit 0	F 0	Omit 0
	Omit 0			Omit 0	

		RESPONSE FREQUENCIES							CLASS			NORM(%)	
		NA	Rarely	Seldom	Usually	Freq.	Always	Omit	Mean	Med	StdDev	Unv	Col
1	The instructor was prepared and organized for each class session.	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	4.75	5	0.50	N/A	N/A
2	Tests and/or assignments were fair:	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	5.00	5	0.00	N/A	N/A
3	Grading was fair:	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	4.75	5	0.50	N/A	N/A
4	The instructor provided useful feedback regarding performance.	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	4.25	4.5	0.96	N/A	N/A
5	The instructor returned tests/assignments in a timely manner:	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	4.75	5	0.50	N/A	N/A
6	The instructor was available outside of class, during office hours or by appointment, to discuss class-related matters:	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	4.25	4	0.50	N/A	N/A
7	A047 The instructor showed mastery of the subject matter.	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	5.00	5	0.00	N/A	N/A
8	A063 The instructor showed enthusiasm when teaching.	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	4.75	5	0.50	N/A	N/A
9	A094 The course material challenged students intellectually.	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	4.75	5	0.50	N/A	N/A
10	B002 The instructor was concerned with whether or not the students learned the material.	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	4.25	4	0.50	N/A	N/A
11	F003 The instructor presented material in a clear manner.	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	4.25	4	0.50	N/A	N/A

		RESPONSE FREQUENCIES							CLASS			NORM(%)	
		NA	Poor	Fair	Satisf.	Good	Excellent	Omit	Mean	Med	StdDev	Unv	Col
1	The clarity of the syllabus in describing the course content, requirements, objectives and evaluation techniques was:	0	0	0	1	2	1	0	4.00	4	0.82	N/A	N/A
2	The instructor's adherence to the syllabus was:	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	4.50	4.5	0.58	N/A	N/A
3	The clarity of the instructor's speech was:	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	4.50	4.5	0.58	N/A	N/A
4	The instructor's clarity and completeness in answering questions was:	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	4.50	4.5	0.58	N/A	N/A
5	The instructor's teaching effectiveness was:	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	4.50	4.5	0.58	N/A	N/A
6	Considering the course objects, organization, quality of materials, class presentations, tests, course policies, etc., my overall rating of the course is:	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	4.50	4.5	0.58	N/A	N/A
7	Overall, my learning in this course was:	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	4.50	4.5	0.58	N/A	N/A

SEE THE SEI INTERPRETIVE GUIDE FOR ASSISTANCE IN REVIEWING YOUR RESULTS

Expand on the above items on which you gave your instructor a relatively LOW rating, and/or comment on the problem areas with the course or instructor. Be specific; suggest ways in which the course or instructor may be improved.

1 Assignment descriptions were sometimes vague.

2 My sole problem with this course is the learning curve in basic things, such as vocabulary, that make following some topics difficult.

3 No Response Given

4 No Response Given

Expand on the above items on which you rated your instructor EXCELLENT, and/or comment on other valuable aspects of the course and instructor.

1 Instructor was enthusiastic and showed expertise teaching the subject matter.

2 Great talks! The lectures are often filled with brilliant ideas. The homeworks can take a lot to finish but they are really very good assignments.

3 No Response Given

4 No Response Given

Instructor: Timothy Menzies
 Course: CS 573 001
 Email: tjmenzies@mail.wvu.edu

WVU eSEI: SURVEY RESULTS ANALYSIS

CRN:86376
 Term:Fall 2010
 # of Responses:10

Course Is?	Class status?	Miss class?	Learn?	Grade?	Compared to other courses?
Liberal Studies Req 1	Freshman 0	3 or Less 10	Very Little 0	A 7	Much More 8
Major Requirement 6	Sophomore 0	4 to 6 0	Some 1	B 2	Somewhat More 2
Major Elective 1	Junior 0	More than 6 0	More than Average 2	C 1	About The Same 0
General Elective 2	Senior 0	Omit 0	Quite A Lot 7	D 0	Less Than 0
Omit 0	Graduate 10		Omit 0	F 0	Omit 0
	Omit 0			Omit 0	

		RESPONSE FREQUENCIES							CLASS			NORM(%)	
		NA	Rarely	Seldom	Usually	Freq.	Always	Omit	Mean	Med	StdDev	Unv	Col
1	The instructor was prepared and organized for each class session.	0	0	0	1	2	7	0	4.60	5	0.70	N/A	N/A
2	Tests and/or assignments were fair:	0	1	0	0	2	7	0	4.40	5	1.26	N/A	N/A
3	Grading was fair:	0	0	1	0	1	8	0	4.60	5	0.97	N/A	N/A
4	The instructor provided useful feedback regarding performance.	0	0	1	0	2	7	0	4.50	5	0.97	N/A	N/A
5	The instructor returned tests/assignments in a timely manner:	0	0	0	0	1	9	0	4.90	5	0.32	N/A	N/A
6	The instructor was available outside of class, during office hours or by appointment, to discuss class-related matters:	0	0	0	1	1	8	0	4.70	5	0.67	N/A	N/A
7	A047 The instructor showed mastery of the subject matter.	0	0	0	0	1	9	0	4.90	5	0.32	N/A	N/A
8	A063 The instructor showed enthusiasm when teaching.	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	5.00	5	0.00	N/A	N/A
9	A094 The course material challenged students intellectually.	0	0	1	0	1	8	0	4.60	5	0.97	N/A	N/A
10	B002 The instructor was concerned with whether or not the students learned the material.	0	0	1	0	1	8	0	4.60	5	0.97	N/A	N/A
11	F003 The instructor presented material in a clear manner.	0	0	1	2	2	5	0	4.10	4.5	1.10	N/A	N/A

		RESPONSE FREQUENCIES							CLASS			NORM(%)	
		NA	Poor	Fair	Satisf.	Good	Excellent	Omit	Mean	Med	StdDev	Unv	Col
1	The clarity of the syllabus in describing the course content, requirements, objectives and evaluation techniques was:	0	0	1	2	2	5	0	4.10	4.5	1.10	N/A	N/A
2	The instructor's adherence to the syllabus was:	0	0	0	0	4	6	0	4.60	5	0.52	N/A	N/A
3	The clarity of the instructor's speech was:	0	0	1	0	4	5	0	4.30	4.5	0.95	N/A	N/A
4	The instructor's clarity and completeness in answering questions was:	0	0	0	1	2	7	0	4.60	5	0.70	N/A	N/A
5	The instructor's teaching effectiveness was:	0	0	0	1	2	7	0	4.60	5	0.70	N/A	N/A
6	Considering the course objects, organization, quality of materials, class presentations, tests, course policies, etc., my overall rating of the course is:	0	0	0	1	0	9	0	4.80	5	0.63	N/A	N/A
7	Overall, my learning in this course was:	0	0	1	0	1	8	0	4.60	5	0.97	N/A	N/A

SEE THE SEI INTERPRETIVE GUIDE FOR ASSISTANCE IN REVIEWING YOUR RESULTS

Expand on the above items on which you gave your instructor a relatively LOW rating, and/or comment on the problem areas with the course or instructor. Be specific; suggest ways in which the course or instructor may be improved.

1 No Response Given

2 No Response Given

3 No Response Given

4 If i had to criticize something, I would say that the exact requirements of the projects weren't fleshed out enough in the syllabus. We were left guessing on some parts, but Dr. Menzies was there to answer our questions and make sure we knew what we were doing. It would be nice to have some sample output or something so future students would know exactly what they should be generating. Also, on some learners we didn't know how to validate our results to ensure correctness, and as beginners in Lisp it might have helped to have a simple data set with expected results to help troubleshoot our code.

5 It would be better to grade students not only on the programming aspect of the problem but also on the theoretical aspect to have a balance grading. More time should also be spent on fundamentals of the course so that all the students in the class would learn at the same pace.

6 No Response Given

7 the only take on Dr. Menzies is that he is so fast when he speak about the materials , so that I hardly can take good notes on the topics, and the assignment are not really clear in the syllabus although he usually and repeatdly talk about the assignment, me as an international student foind it hard to follow up all of the instruction in his fast pace, and usually have to spend too much time to understand what is required, and have to meet him several times. if a clear and expanded explanation about the assignments are provided in the syllabus, this course would be perfect

8 No Response Given

9 No Response Given

10 No Response Given

Expand on the above items on which you rated your instructor EXCELLENT, and/or comment on other valuable aspects of the course and instructor.

1 No Response Given

2 No Response Given

3 No Response Given

4 The class was exciting to attend because of Dr. Menzies' enthusiasm for the material and seemingly bottomless well of knowledge. He also engaged the students well and thrived on class participation. The grad level programming was challenging and the knowledge acquired will be useful in my research and throughout my career.

5 No Response Given

6 No Response Given

7 The course is totally excellent, the instructor has a wide knoweledge and he is enthusiastic about the course, and usually encourage us to explore and learn. he also assist us and tutor us when we don't understand the materials fully. he is dicated to the excellence of teaching, and the papers, books and materials are chosen well and carefully so, we can understand complicated topics in an easy manner. I would love to take another class with this professor aagain. since I know I'm learning something new everytime for sure

8 No Response Given

9 "Learn to learn more" for Prof. Menzies.
"Try to fix yourself with different language".

I learn these intelligent viewpoints from this class.

10 No Response Given